| 1 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
|--|---|
| 2 | WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD |
| 3 | DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER |
| 4 5 6 7 | TO: City of Huron P.O. Box 339 Huron, CA 93734 |
| 8 9 | Water System No. 1010044 |
| 10 11 12 | Attn: Jack Castro, City Manager |
| 13 | CITATION NO. 03-23-17C-066 |
| 14 | CITATION FOR VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 22, |
| 15 | SECTION 64426.1 (b) (2) - TOTAL COLIFORM MAXIUMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL and |
| 16 | SECTION 64424 (a) (1)- Repeat Sampling |
| 17 | March and May 2017 |
| 18 | Issued on July 17, 2017 |
| 19 | The State Water Resources Control Board (hereinafter "Board"), acting by and through its |
| 20 | Division of Drinking Water (hereinafter "Division") and the Deputy Director for the Division |
| 21 | (hereinafter "Deputy Director"), hereby issues this citation (hereinafter "Citation") pursuant to |
| 22 | Section 116650 of the California Health and Safety Code (hereinafter "CHSC") to the City of |
| 23 | Huron (hereinafter, "City") (mailing address: P.O. Box 339, Huron, CA 93234) for violation of |
| 24 | California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 22, Section 64426.1 subsections (b)(2). |
| 25 | |
| 26 27 | APPLICABLE AUTHORITIES Section 116650 of California Health and Safety Code provides: |
| 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 | (a) If the Division determines that a public water system is in violation of this chapter or any regulation, permit, standard, citation, or order issued or adopted thereunder, the Division may issue a citation to the public water system. The citation shall be served upon the public water system personally or by certified mail. Service shall be deemed effective as of the date of personal service or the date of receipt of the certified mail. If a person to whom a citation is directed refuses to accept delivery of the certified mail, the date of service shall be deemed to be the date of mailing. |



| 35 36 37 | (b) Each citation shall be in writing and shall describe the nature of the violation or violations, including a reference to the statutory provision, standard, order, citation, permit, or regulation alleged to have been violated. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 38 39 | (c) A citation may specify a date for elimination or correction of the condition constituting the violation. |
| 40 | (d) A citation may include the assessment of a penalty as specified in subdivision (e). |
| 41 42 43 | (e) The Division may assess a penalty in an amount not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000) per day for each day that a violation occurred, and for each day that a violation continues to occur. A separate penalty may be assessed for each violation. |
| 44 45 | California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Section 64426.1, subsections (a) and (b) provide, in relevant part: |
| 46 | Section 64424- Repeat Sampling |
| 47 48 49 50 51 | (a) If a routine sample is total coliform-positive, the water supplier shall collect a repeat sample set as described in paragraph (1) within 24 hours of being notified of the positive result. The repeat samples shall all be collected within the same 24 hour time period. A single service connection system may request that the State Board allow the collection of the repeat sample set over a four-day period. |
| 52 53 54 55 56 | (1) For a water supplier that normally collects more than one routine sample a month, a repeat sample set shall be at least three samples for each total coliform-positive sample. For a water supplier that normally collects one or fewer samples per month, a repeat sample set shall be at least four samples for each total coliform-positive sample. |
| 57 | §64426.1. Total Coliform Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL). |
| 58 59 60 61 62 63 | (a) Results of all samples collected in a calendar month pursuant to Sections 64423, 64424, and 64425 that are not invalidated by the Division or the laboratory shall be included in determining compliance with the total coliform MCL. Special purpose samples such as those listed in §64421(b) and samples collected by the water supplier during special investigations shall not be used to determine compliance with the total coliform MCL. |
| 64 65 | (b) A public water system is in violation of the total coliform MCL when any of the following occurs: |
| 66 67 68 | (1) For a public water system which collects at least 40 samples per month, more than 5.0 percent of the samples collected during any month are total coliform-positive; or |
| 69 70 | (2) For a public water system which collects fewer than 40 samples per month, more |



CITATION NO. 03-23-17C-066 Issued: July 17, 2017

Revised Total Coliform Rule

Beginning April 1, 2016, all public water systems need to comply with California's existing Total Coliform Rule (TCR) as well as the new requirements in the federal Revised Total Coliform Rule (rTCR), until California can complete the regulatory adoption process for the rTCR. Under the Federal rTCR, a water system which exceeds the current Total Coliform MCL must also conduct a Level 1 Assessment. The completed assessment must be submitted to our office within 30 days of the exceedance. Additionally, a water system in which a second Level 1 Assessment is triggered within a rolling 12-month period must conduct a Level 2 Assessment.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Division is informed and believes that the City of Huron is a community water system that has two parallel surface water treatment plants with a capacity of 1,450 gpm. Treated water is stored and distributed via two tanks. Raw water enters the City's water treatment plant via Westlands Water District (WWD). The City serves a community with a population of 7306 through 876 connections.

The City is operated under Water Supply Permit No. 03-23-10P-005 that was amended May 23, 2016 (03-23-16PA-005).

Bacteriological Monitoring and Reporting Violation

The City of Huron collected 12 distribution bacteriological samples for the month of March 2017. During the month of March 2017, the City collected a routine bacteriological sample on March 28, 2017. No repeat samples were collected in follow-up. Section 64424(a)(1) of Title 22, California Code of Regulations specifies that a public water system which normally collects one or fewer samples per month shall collect a repeat sample set consisting of at



CITATION NO. 03-23-17C-066 Issued: July 17, 2017 least four samples whenever a routine bacteriological sample is determined to be total coliform-positive.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

100

99

Violation of the Total Coliform Rule Maximum Contaminant Level

The City is required to collect a minimum of seven (7) distribution system bacteriological samples per month. The bacteriological water analysis results submitted by the Water System reported the presence of total coliform bacteria in three (3) of at least twenty-three (23) distribution samples and one (1) source sample collected during the month of May 2017. None of the positive samples reported showed the presence of fecal coliform or E. coli bacteria.

109

110

108

The following table summarizes the reported bacteriological monitoring conducted during the month of May of 2017.

112

111

| Collection Date | Number of Samples | Sample Labeled | Number TC positive | Number E. Coli positive |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 5/2/2017 | 3 | Routine Distribution | 0 | 0 |
| 5/9/2017 | 3 | Routine Distribution | 3 | 0 |
| 5/12/2017 | 10 | Repeats (Distribution and Source | 0 | 0 |
| | | Samples) | | |
| 5/16/2017 | 3 | Routine Distribution | 0 | 0 |
| 5/23/2017 | 3 | Routine Distribution | 0 | 0 |
| 5/30/2017 | 3 | Routine Distribution | 0 | 0 |

113

114 Due to the above-mentioned total coliform positive samples, the City has failed the total

115

coliform MCL for the month of May 2017. The Groundwater Rule adopted by the Division,



effective August 18, 2011, requires the collection of a sample for bacteriological evaluation

Issued: July 17, 2017

117 from wells serving the system in response to a coliform positive distribution sample. This 118 system is a surface water system. The City collected raw water samples from the aqueduct 119 every week in the month of May 2017. A summary of all bacteriological testing results 120 conducted during March and May 2017 is included here as Attachment A. 121 122 DETERMINATION 123 Based on the above Statement of Facts, the Division has determined that the City of Huron 124 has failed to comply with Section 116555(a)(1) of the CHSC and Section 64426.1(b)(2) of 125 Title 22, California Code of Regulations (CCR). The Water System has failed to comply with 126 the total coliform Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for the month of May 2017. 127 128 ASSOCIATED VIOLATIONS 129 The City of Huron collected 12 distribution bacteriological samples for the month of March 130 2017. During the month of March 2017, the City collected a routine bacteriological sample 131 on March 28, 2017. No repeat samples were collected in follow-up. 132 133 Additionally, the City has failed to comply with the following Section 64424 of Title 22, CCR: 134 135 Section 64424(a) specifies that if a routine sample is total coliform-positive, the water 136 supplier shall collect a repeat sample set as described in Sections 64424(a)1 and 64424(b) 137 within 24 hours of being notified of the positive result. The repeat samples shall all be 138 collected within the same 24 hour period. 139 140 Section 64424(a)(1) of Title 22, California Code of Regulations specifies that a public water 141 system which normally collects one or fewer samples per month shall collect a repeat sample 142 set consisting of at least four samples whenever a routine bacteriological sample is



determined to be total coliform-positive.

NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Title 22, CCR, Section 64426.1(c) requires a public water system to notify the Division and the consumers of the water system, when a violation of Section 64426.1(b)(1) through (4) occurs. Notification to the Division shall be by the end of the business day on which the violation has been determined. If the Division is closed, notification shall be within 24 hours of the determination. The Division was notified in accordance with the above-referenced section.

A Tier 2 Public Notice for violation of paragraph 64426.1(b) (2) shall be given pursuant to Section 64463.4 and 64465. The Tier 2 Public Notice shall include the mandatory health effects language from Appendix 64465-A for a total coliform MCL failure.

Section 64463.4 allows community water systems to use mail or direct delivery to each customer and the use of one or more of the following methods: publication in a daily or weekly newspaper, posting the public notice in a conspicuous public place within the water system or on the internet, or by delivery to community organizations.

The Water System shall either mail or conduct direct delivery of the public notice to all customers served within the general service area. Section 116450(g) requires that upon receipt of notification from a public water system, schools must notify school employees, students, and parents (if the students are minors), residential rental property owners or managers (including nursing homes and care facilities) must notify their tenants and business property owners, managers or operators must notify employees of businesses located on the property. These secondary notification requirements are included in the public notice. The Water System has completed the necessary public notification and investigation.



| - | - | - |
|---|-----|---|
| п | ' 1 | 1 |
| | - / | |
| | | |

DIRECTIVES

1. The City shall collect repeat samples as required by Section 64424 and as discussed in this Citation whenever a routine sample is positive for total coliform bacteria.

The State Board reserves the right to make such modifications to this Citation as it may deem necessary to protect public health and safety. Such modifications may be issued as amendments to this Citation and shall be effective upon issuance.

Nothing in this Citation relieves the Water System of its obligation to meet the requirements of the California SDWA (CHSC, Division 104, Part 12, Chapter 4, commencing with Section 116270), or any regulation, standard, permit or order issued or adopted thereunder.

PARTIES BOUND

This Citation shall apply to and be binding upon City of Huron, its officers, directors, shareholders, agents, employees, contractors, successors, and assignees.

SEVERABILITY

The Directives of this Citation are severable, and City of Huron shall comply with each and every provision thereof, notwithstanding the effectiveness of any other provision.

FURTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTION

The California SDWA authorizes the Division to: issue citation with assessment of administrative penalties to a public water system for violation or continued violation of the requirements of the California SDWA or any permit, regulation, permit or order issued or adopted thereunder including, but not limited to, failure to correct a violation identified in a citation or compliance order. The California SDWA also authorizes the Division to take



action to suspend or revoke a permit that has been issued to a public water system if the system has violated applicable law or regulations or has failed to comply with an order of the Division; and to petition the superior court to take various enforcement measures against a public water system that has failed to comply with an order of the Division. The Division does not waive any further enforcement action by issuance of this citation.

204

199

200

201

202

203

205

206 207

208

209

210

211

____/ Date

Jose Robledo, P.E. District Engineer

Division of Drinking Water

State Water Resources Control Board

Attachments:

- A. Bacteriological Monitoring Report
- B. Copy of Public Notice Template
- C. Copy of Proof of Public Notification
- D. Copy of the Level 1 Assessment Form

Certified Mail Tracking Number: 7015 1660 0000 0781 9791



Bacteriological Distribution Monitoring Report

| Sample Date | Time | Location | T Coli | E Coli | F Coli | Type | Cl2 | Violation | Comment |
|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------|--------|--|------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| 5/10/2016 | 8:10 | 36333 Mouren | P | Α | | Routine | 1.58 | | |
| 5/12/2016 | 10:55 | 36200 N. Giffen Dr. | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.39 | | |
| 5/12/2016 | 11:05 | 36323 P. Street | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.07 | | |
| 5/12/2016 | 14:50 | Sample Site #3 | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.89 | | |
| 5/24/2016 | 7:55 | 36333 Mouren | Р | Α | | Routine | 1.21 | MCL | Issued Citation No. 03- 23-16C-036 on 7/26/16 for TCR MCL for May and July 2016. |
| 5/27/2016 | 13:50 | 36200 Giffen Dr. | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.09 | | |
| 5/27/2016 | 14:00 | 36332 PSP | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.04 | | |
| 5/27/2016 | 14:10 | Plant finish water | Α | Α | | Repeat | | esta v element i kullet i emmente i bene il eleme | |
| 5/31/2016 | | 10 Samples | А | Α | | Routine | 1.02-2.17 | | |
| 6/30/2016 | | 15 samples | Α | Α | | Routine | 0.99-1.66 | | |
| 7/6/2016 | 13:45 | 36311 Lassen Ave. | Α | Α | | Routine | 1.03 | | |
| 7/6/2016 | 13:55 | 36333 Mouren | Р | Α | | Routine | 1.57 | MCL | Issued Citation No. 03- 23-16C-036 on 7/26/16 for TCR MCL for May and July 2016. |
| 7/6/2016 | 14:05 | 36951 Lassen Ave. | Р | Α | | Routine | 1.09 | | |
| 7/8/2016 | 14:15 | Source water | Α | Α | | Source Repeat | 1.3 | | |
| 7/8/2016 | 14:30 | Sample Site #3 | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.50 | | |
| 7/8/2016 | 14:40 | 36200 N. Giffen Dr. | Α | Α | NEC. 2001.180-04474 | Repeat | 1.42 | | |
| 7/8/2016 | 14:50 | 36332 P. St | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.35 | | ETTERMENT HARD GER BEDTO FTEL TY DELEVANDE THE ANGED BOOK THING CHARLE. |
| 7/8/2016 | 15:00 | Sample Site #1 | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.15 | | |
| 7/8/2016 | 15:10 | 36905 Lassen Ave. | Α | Α | | Repeat | 1.20 | | |
| 7/8/2016 | 15:25 | 17116 Tornado Ave. | А | Α | | Repeat | 1.11 | | |
| 7/13/2016 | 7:42 | 36617 Central Ave. | Α | Α | | Routine | 1.49 | | |
| 7/13/2016 | 7:55 | 17094 Myrtle Ave. | Α | Α | | Routine | 1.64 | | |
| 7/13/2016 | 8:08 | 17273 Myrtle Ave. | Α | Α | | Routine | 1.20 | | |
| 7/20/2016 | 7:45 | 36951 Lassen Ave. | 1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.39 | | |
| 7/20/2016 | 8:05 | Sp3 36333 Mouren | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.19 | | |
| 7/20/2016 | 8:25 | Sp5 36311 Lassen Ave. | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.30 | | |
| 7/22/2016 | 15:07 | Sp1 36951 Lassen Ave | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Repeat | 1.02 | | |
| 7/22/2016 | 15:15 | 36905 Lassen Ave. | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Repeat | 1.31 | | |
| 7/22/2016 | 15:30 | 17116 Tornado Ave. | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Repeat | 1.40 | | |
| 7/27/2016 | 8:20 | Sp2-16916 5th st | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.51 | | |
| 7/27/2016 | 8:35 | Sp4-17094 Myrtle Ave. | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.60 | | |
| 7/27/2016 | 8:47 | SP6 17273 Myrtle Ave. | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.25 | | |
| 8/31/2016 | | 15 samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.26-2.76 | | |
| 9/7/2016 | 8:30 | 17273 Myrtle Ave. | 1.1 | <1.1 | *************************************** | Routine | | | |
| 9/9/2016 | 15:00 | 36724 Granola Ave | <1.1 | <1.1 | *************************************** | Repeat | Hero Historia (Mason Karatio | | |
| 9/9/2016 | 15:36 | 36711 Orange Ave | <1.1 | <1.1 | 370000 | Repeat | | | |
| 9/9/2016 | 15:59 | 17273 Myrtle Ave. | <1.1 | <1.1 | - | Repeat | | | |
| 9/30/2016 | | 11 samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.28-2.2 | | |
| 10/31/2016 | | 12 samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | 7. | Routine | 1.31-2.10 | | THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE |
| 11/30/2016 | | 15 samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | *************************************** | Routine | 1.27-2.01 | 0-27-1-2-1-2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1- | |
| 12/31/2016 | | 12 samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.25-2.21 | | |
| 1/31/2017 | | 12 samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.21-2.22 | | |
| 2/28/2017 | | 15 rou samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | ANAMONIA AN AN AND DESCRIPTION OF AND DESCRIPTION OF AN AND DESCRIPTION OF AND DES | Routine | 0.20-2.10 | | |
| 3/28/2017 | 11:35 | 17276 Myrtle Ave. | 1.0 | <1 | | Routine | 1.46 | MR5 | |
| 3/31/2017 | | 11 samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.21-2.01 | | |
| 4/30/2017 | *************************************** | 12 Samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.5-2.01 | | |

| Sample Date | Time | Location | T Coli | E Coli | F Coli | Type | Cl2 | Violation | Comment |
|-------------|-------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 5/9/2017 | 10:30 | 16916 5th St. HB | 1.1 | <1.1 | AAAAAAA HATII AAAA | Routine | 1.93 | | |
| 5/9/2017 | 10:45 | 17097 Crocker HB | 1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.71 | MCL | |
| 5/9/2017 | 10:55 | 17273 Myrtle Ave. HB | 2.2 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.60 | | |
| 5/12/2017 | | 9 Samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Repeat | 1.59-1.89 | | |
| 5/12/2017 | 7:30 | Source Water | <1 | <1 | | Source Repeat | 2.31 | | |
| 5/31/2017 | | 12 Samples | <1.1 | <1.1 | | Routine | 1.34-1.95 | | |

Violation Key

| MCL | Exceeds the maximum contaminant level | MR5 | Incorrect number of repeat samples as follow-up to a positive sample |
|-----|--|-----|--|
| MR1 | No monthly sample for the report month | MR6 | No source sample |
| MR2 | No quarterly sample for the report month | MR7 | No summary report submitted |
| MR3 | Incorrect number of routine samples for the report month | MR8 | Other comments and/or info |
| MR4 | Did not collect 5 routine samples for previous month's positive sample | MR9 | CI2 not reported |

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR DRINKING WATER

Este informe contiene información muy importante sobre su agua potable.

Tradúzcalo o hable con alguien que lo entienda bien.

The City of Huron Has Levels of Coliform Bacteria Above the Drinking Water Standard

Our water system recently failed a drinking water standard. Although this incident was not an emergency, as our customers, you have a right to know what you should do, what happened and what we did to correct this situation.

We routinely monitor for drinking water contaminants. We took $\underline{21}$ samples to test for the presence of coliform bacteria in May 2017. $\underline{3}$ of these samples showed the presence of total coliform bacteria. The standard is that no more than one sample per month may show the presence of coliform bacteria.

What should I do?

- You do not need to boil your water or take other corrective actions.
- This is not an emergency. If it had been, you would have been notified immediately. Total coliform bacteria are
 generally not harmful themselves. Coliforms are bacteria which are naturally present in the environment and
 are used as an indicator that other, potentially-harmful, bacteria may be present. Coliforms were found in more
 samples than allowed and this was a warning of potential problems.
- Usually, coliforms are a sign that there could be a problem with the treatment or distribution system (pipes). Whenever we detect coliform bacteria in any sample, we do follow-up testing to see if other bacteria of greater concern, such as fecal coliform or *E. coli*, are present. We did not find any of these bacteria in our subsequent testing.
- People with severely compromised immune systems, infants, and some elderly may be at increased risk. These
 people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. General guidelines on ways to
 lessen the risk of infection by microbes are available from EPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1(800) 426-4791.
- If you have other health issues concerning the consumption of this water, you may wish to consult your doctor.

What happened? What is being done?

Contamination can easily occur with collection and analytical testing of coliform samples. Outside sources such as wind could blow containments into the sample bottle resulting in a false positive. Proper collection procedures must be maintained regardless of the environment. Steps the City has taken include the City's water operators completed proper sampling technique training. Each sample site has been evaluated for possible outside contamination. All older sample sites have been replaced with new ones. Staff will continue flushing lines on a regular scheduled basis.

For more information, please contact <u>Dennis Longhofer</u> at 775 781-6758 or 16465 9th Street, Huron, CA 93234.

Please share this information with all the other people who drink this water, especially those who may not have received this notice directly (for example, people in apartments, nursing homes, schools, and businesses). You can do this by posting this public notice in a public place or distributing copies by hand or mail.

Secondary Notification Requirements

Upon receipt of notification from a person operating a public water system, the following notification must be given within 10 days [Health and Safety Code Section 116450(g)]:

- SCHOOLS: Must notify school employees, students, and parents (if the students are minors).
- RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTY OWNERS OR MANAGERS (including nursing homes and care facilities): Must notify tenants.
- BUSINESS PROPERTY OWNERS, MANAGERS, or OPERATORS: Must notify employees of businesses located on the property.

Date distributed: June 2, 2017

Certification of Completion of Public Notification

This form, when completed and returned to the Division of Drinking Water - Fresno District (265W. Bullard Ave. #101, Fresno, CA 93704 or fax to 559-447-3304), serves as certification that public notification to water users was completed as required by Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Sections 64463-64465.

| Public Water System Name: City of Huron |
|--|
| Public Water System No.: 1010044 |
| Public notification for failure to comply with the TCR MCL for May 2017 |
| was performed by the following method(s) (check and complete those that apply): |
| The notice was mailed to users on: <u>June 2, 2017</u> A copy of the notice is attached. |
| ☐ The notice was hand delivered to water customers on: |
| ☐ The notice was published in the local newspaper on: ☐ A copy of the newspaper notice is attached. |
| ☐ The notice was published in conspicuous places on: May 31, 2017 ☐ A copy of the notice is attached. ☐ A list of locations the notice was posted is attached. |
| ☐The notice was delivered to community organizations on: |
| I hereby certify that the above information is factual. |
| Dennis Longhore Printed Name PW Director Title Signature Date Disclosure: Be advised that Section 116725 and 116730 of the California Health and Safety Code state that any person who knowingly makes any false statement on any report or document submitted for the purpose of compliance with the attached |
| order may be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for separate violation each day that the violation continues. In addition, the violators may be prosecuted in criminal court and, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$25,000 for each day of violation, or be imprisoned in the county jail not to exceed one year, or by both the fine and imprisionment. |
| Due to the Division of Drinking Water within 10 days of issuance of notice tocustomers |
| System Number: 1010044 Enforcement Action No. |

City of Huron
May 31, 2017
TCR MCL
Public Notice
Posting Locations

City of Huron City Hall 36311 Lassen Avenue Huron, CA 93234

US Post Office 36100 Lassen Avenue Huron, CA 93234

Huron Branch Library 36050 O Street Huron, CA 93234

United Health Center 16928 11th Street Huron, CA 93235

This form is intended to assist public water systems in completing the investigation required by the federal revised Total Coliform Rule (rTCR) [effective April 1, 2016] and may be modified to take into account conditions unique to the water system. **To avoid a** the coliform treatment trigger date. violation, an assessment report must be completed and returned to your local regulatory agency no later than 30 days after



ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

| Entity Name: | Name: City of Huron Water System | System Address & Email: | Telephone |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| PWSID NUMBER: 1010044 System Type: Surface | 9 | P.O. Box 339 | Number |
| Water Treatment Plant | | Huron, CA 93234 | |
| Operator in Responsible Charge (ORC) | Dennis Longhofer | | 775 781-6758 |
| Person that collected TC samples | Nick Escandon | | 559 804-9285 |
| | Joey Escrupulo | | 559 707-9442 |
| System Owner | City of Huron | | 559 945-2241 |
| Certified Laboratory for Microbiological Analyses | BC Lab | | 800 878-4911 |
| Date Investigation Completed: 6/1/17 | | | |
| Month(s) of Coliform Treatment Technique Trigger: May 2017 | / 2017 | | |

INVESTIGATION DETAILS

| SOURCE – RAW SURFACE WATER | (Name) | COMMENTS (attach additional pages if peeded) |
|--|---|---|
| | CA Aqueduct Lateral 23-R | (unage) availables pugges in necessary |
| 1. Inspect the surface water intake for physical defects and report findings | Yes | Everything appeared good |
| 2. Is the intake secured to prevent unauthorized access? | Yes | |
| 3. To what treatment plant (name) is the water supplied from this intake? | Water Treatment Plant #2 | |
| 4. How often do you collect a total coliform (TC) sample from the raw water? | Weekly | |
| 5. Provide the date and result of the last TC test at this location | 5/16/17 TC Density- 440 Confirmed TC - 73 | Confirmed TC – 73 |
| | MPN/100 | |
| 6. Any additional observation (unusual condition, etc.)? | None | |

| Rarely- Once every 3-4 months for algae control | Yes Flocculation chamber | coliform treatment trigger occurred? If yes, specify. 4. Do you provide pre-chlorination? 5. Specify the point of pre-chlorination? |
|---|---------------------------|---|
| | & clarification | 3 Did you experience any problems with the pre-filtration treatment when the |
| | Coagulation, flocculation | 2. If yes, specify type of treatment provided. |
| | Yes | 1. Do you provide any treatment prior to filtration? |
| | | PRE-FILTRATION TREATMENT |
| (attach additional pages if needed) | Water Treatment Plant #2 | |
| COMMENTS | PLANT (NAME) | TREATMENT |

| TREATMENT | PLANT (NAME) | COMMENTS |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Water Treatment Plant #2 | (attach additional pages if needed) |
| 6. Was the chlorination system working properly when the coliform treatment | Yes | |
| trigger occurred? | | |
| 7. Have you recently changed the pre-chlorination dosage? | No | |
| 8. Any additional observation, information? | None | Everything appeared to be in normal |
| | | operation. |

| | a appear normal | 0 |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| | All tanks annear normal | 8 Inspect each point where disinfectant is added and report findings |
| | No | 7. If you provide continuous chlorination treatment, was there any equipment failure? |
| | No | 6. Did the distribution system lose chlorine residual? If yes, how long? |
| | No | 5. Did the treatment plant effluent lose chlorine residual? If yes, how long? |
| | mg/l Lassen Tank - 1.60 mg/l | |
| | 9 th St. 1 MG Tank- 1.90 | |
| | 9th St. 0.5 MG Tank effluent | 4. What was the chlorine residual in the distribution system? |
| | 2.90 mg/l | 3. What was the chlorine residual in the treatment plant effluent? |
| | effluent before clear well | |
| | Water treatment plant filter | 2. Where do you add the disinfectant (specify location)? |
| | Hypochlorite | What kind of disinfectant do you add? |
| | | CHLORINATION TREATMENT |
| | Nothing unusual | 17. Any additional observation, information? |
| | | If No, please explain. |
| | Yes | 16. Were alarms and/or auto shutdowns properly set or functioning? |
| | No | 15. Did you notice any mud balls in the filters when you last inspected your filters? |
| Added anthracite February 2016 | 2004 | 14. When was the last time you changed your filter media? |
| | February 2016 | 13. When was the last time you inspected your filter media? |
| | Yes 1800 gpm for 12 minutes | Are the filters backwashed with treated water? Specify backwash rate and duration. |
| and goes to normal flow if there was a spike. Backwashes are done manually. | hours | turbidity? |
| With high turbidity, flow goes to waste | Approximately every 20 | 11. How often do you backwash your filters? Is it based on a timer or effluent |
| | No | 10. Did any individual filter exceed the turbidity standard when the coliform treatment trigger occurred? |
| | 5) | failures or levels above normal values when the coliform treatment trigger occurred? |
| | No | 9. Did the combined effluent from the treatment plant experience any turbidity |
| | No | 8. Did you experience any problems with the filter backwashing process? |
| | No | 7. Did any filter experience any operational problems when the trigger happened? |
| | Two | 6. How many filters were in service when the coliform treatment trigger occurred? |
| | 7.44 gpm/ft ² | 5. What is the filter loading rate for each filter (gpm per sq. ft.)? |
| | 900 gpm | 200 |
| | 450 gpm | |
| | Two | 2. How many filters are there? |
| | Gravity | What kind of filters do you have (Pressure or Gravity, Media specifications) |
| COMMENTS | | FILTRATION TREATMENT |

| | No unusual observation | 12. Any additional observation/information? |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| | N _O | 11. Did the treatment plant experience any CT failure due to inadequate contact time? If yes, specify what happened? |
| | N ₀ | 10. Did the treatment plant experience any CT failure due to inadequate chlorine dosage? If yes, specify what happened? |
| | Lassen Tank- CT- 552.3 | |
| | 105.8 | |
| | 9th Street 0.5 MG Tank CT- | |
| | 221.4 | |
| | 9th Street 1 MG Tank CT- | to the CT compliance point? |
| | 5/9/17 | What is the contact time in minutes from the point of disinfectant application |
| distribution system. | plant effluent | the point of application? |
| 1.72 mg/l at furthest sample point in | 2.90 mg/l at Treatment | What is the free chlorine residual measured immediately downstream from |
| | | the point of application? |
| Only measure free chlorine residual | NA | What is the total chlorine residual measured immediately downstream from |
| well. Flow rate at 500 gpm, | | gallons per minute? |
| Disinfectant added at water plant clear | NA | What is the raw water flow rate at the point where disinfectant is added in |
| hypochlorite was 98 days old. | | this treatment location? |
| When positive samples were taken the | 1 day | What is the age (days) of the disinfectant solution currently being used at |
| | | measured, manufacturer's literature) |
| | Manufacture's Literature | 4. By what method was the concentration of solution determined? (ex: |
| | | mg/L of chlorine as HOCl) |
| | 12.5% | What is the concentration of the disinfectant solution being fed? (percent, or |
| | 70 ml/minute | What is the feed rate of disinfectant in ml/minute? |
| | Yes | Is the disinfectant feed pump feeding disinfectant? |

| DISINFECTION TREATMENT - OTHER THAN CHLORINATION* | PLANT (NAME) | COMMENTS |
|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 1. If you provide disinfection treatment other than chlorination, was there any | No other disinfection | |
| equipment failure? | treatment. No equipment | |
| | failures | |
| a. Did this result in a loss of chlorine residual at the entry point to distribution No | No | |
| system? If Yes, how long? | | |
| 2. Did the distribution system lose disinfectant residual? | No | |
| 3. Was emergency chlorination initiated? | No | |
| If Yes, when? | | |
| 4. Inspect each point where disinfectant is added and report | No unusual observation | e e |
| a. For UV disinfection systems | N/A | |
| 1. Is the disinfectant equipment working properly? | N/A | |

Page 5 of 10

| DISINFECTION TREATMENT - OTHER THAN CHLORINATION* | PLANT (NAME) | COMMENTS |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | N/A | |
| 2. What is the dosage of disinfectant? | | 9 |
| 3. By what method was the feed rate/residual concentration determined? | N/A | |
| (ex: measured, manufacturer's literature) | | |
| What is the age of the UV lamps currently being used at this treatment | N/A | |
| location? | | |
| 5 What is the raw water flow rate at the point where disinfectant is added? | | |

^{*}If you have additional disinfection treatments not listed above, please review and provide information on that facility.

| | TANK | TANK | TANK | TANK | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|---|
| | (name) | (name) | (name) | (name) | |
| STORAGE | 9th St. | 9th St. | Lassen | | COMMENTS |
| | 0.5 MG | 1 MG | 1 MG | | |
| 1. Is each tank locked to prevent unauthorized access? | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| 2. Are all vents of each tank screened and down-turned to prevent dust and dirt | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| 3 Is the overflow on each tank screened? | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| 4. Are there any unsealed openings in the tank such as access doors, water level | No S | No S | No S | | |
| indicators hatches, etc.? | | | | | |
| 5. Are there any visible leaks in the tanks? Is the exterior of the tank corroded? | No | No | N _o | | |
| 5. Is the roof/cover of the tank sealed and free of any leaks? | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| 6. Is the tank above ground or buried? | Above | Above | Above | | |
| a. If buried or partially buried, are there provisions to direct surface water away from the site? | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| b. Has the interior of the tank been inspected to identify any sanitary defects, such as root intrusion? | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Lassen Tank - 1/23/16 9th St. 0.5 MG - 1/22/16 |
| | | | | | 9 St. 1.0 MG-1/22/16 Water Plant Clear Well - 2/5/16. |
| 7. Does the tank "float" on the distribution system or are there separate inlet and outlet lines? | Separate | Separate | Separate | | |
| 8. What is the measured chlorine residual (<i>circle</i> total / free) of the water exiting the storage tank today ? Mg/I | 1.4 mg/l | 2.30 mg/l | 2.50 mg/l | | |
| 9. What is the volume of the storage tank in gallons? How old is the tank? | 9 th St. 0.5 MG | 9 th St. 1 MG | Lassen 1 MG | | |
| | 1985 | 2002 | 1992 | | |

| | TANK (name) | TANK (name) | TANK (name) | TANK (name) | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| STORAGE | 9th St. | 9th St. | Lassen | | COMMENTS |
| | 0.5 MG | 1 MG | 1 MG | | |
| 10. Is the tank baffled? | No | No | No | | |
| 11. Prior to the TC+ or EC+, what was the previous date items #1-7 were checked | | | | | Numbers 1, 3, 4, 6 and |
| and documented? | | | | | 7- daily. |
| | | | | | Numbers 1.2 5 and 5- |
| | | | | | December 2016 |

| PRESSURE TANK | TANK (name) | TANK (name) | TANK (name) | TANK (name) | COMMENTS |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| | N/A | | | | |
| 1. What is the volume of the pressure tank? | | | | | |
| 2. What is the age of the pressure tank? | | | | | |
| 3. Is the pressure tank bladder type or air compressor type? | | | | | |
| 4. Did the pressure tank(s) deviate from normal operating pressure? | | | | | |
| 5. Is the compressor pump running more often than normal? | | | | | |
| 6. Is the tank bladder(s) is water logged? | | | | | |
| 7. Is the tank(s) damaged, rusty, leaking, or has holes? | | | | | |
| 8. Was there any recent work performed? | | | | | |
| 9. Is the air relief vent (if there is one) on the pressure tank screened and facing | | | | | |
| downwards? | | | | | |

| DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM | SYSTEM RESPONSES |
|--|--|
| 1. What is the minimum pressure you are maintaining in the distribution system? | 53 psi |
| 2. Did pressure in the distribution system drop to less than 5 psi prior to | No |
| experiencing the total coliform positive finding? | |
| 3. Has the distribution system been worked on within the last week (service taps, | No |
| hydrant flushing, main breaks, main extensions, etc.)? If yes, provide details. | |
| 4. Are there any signs of excavations near your distribution system not under the | No |
| direct control of your maintenance staff? | |
| 5. Did you inspect your distribution system to check for mainline leaks? Do you or | Yes, distribution system inspected daily. No mainline leaks. |
| did you have a mainline leak? | |
| 6. If there was a mainline leak, when was it repaired? | No |
| 7. On what date was the distribution system last flushed? | 8/8/16 |
| 8. Is there a written flushing procedure you can provide for our review? | Yes - Part of Operations Plan |
| 9 Do you have an active cross connection control program? | Yes |

| DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM | SYSTEM RESPONSES |
|---|------------------|
| and phone number of your Cross-Connection Control Program | Fernando Saenz |
| Coordinator? | 559 623-2457 |
| 11. Have all backflow prevention devices in the distribution system been tested | Yes |
| annually and if they did not pass, were they repaired/replaced and retested? | |
| 12. On what date was the last physical survey of the system done to identify | 9/28/2016 |
| cross-connections? | |
| | |

| BOOSTER STATION | SYSTEM RESPONSES |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | |
| 1.Do you have a booster pump? How many? | Yes. Nine total booster pumps. |
| 2. Do you have a standby booster pump if the main pump fails? | Yes |
| 3. Prior to bacteriological quality problems, did your booster pump fail? | No |
| 4. Do you notice standing water, leakage at the booster station? | No |
| | |

| SAMPLE SITE EVALUATION (Complete for all TC+ or EC+ findings) | Routine Site | Upstream Site | Downstream | 4 th Repeat |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|
| | TC+ or EC+ | | Site | Sample (specify) |
| | | | | |
| 1. What is the height of the sample tap above grade? (inches) | 16 inches | 18 inches | 18 inches | |
| 2. Is the sample tap located in an ext erior location or is it protected by an | Protected | Exterior | Exterior | |
| enclosure? | | | | |
| 3. Is the sample tap threaded, have a swing arm (kitchen sink) or aerator (sinks)? | No threaded | Threated | Threated | |
| 4. Is the sample tap in good condition, free of leaks around the stem or packing? | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. Can the sample tap be adjusted to the point where a good laminar flow can be | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| achieved without excessive splash? | | | | |
| 6. Is the sample tap and areas around the sample tap clean and dry (free of | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| animal droppings. other contaminants or spray irrigation systems)? | | | | |
| 7 Is the area around the sample tap free of excessive vegetation or other | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| impediments to sample collection? | | | | |
| 8. Describe how the tap was treated in preparation for sample collection (ran | Flushed water, | Flushed water, | Flushed | |
| water, swabbed with disinfectant, flamed, etc.) | swabbed with | swabbed with | water, | |
| | disinfectant | disinfectant | swabbed with | |
| | 8 | | disinfectant | |
| 9. Is this sample tap designated on the bacteriological sample siting plan (BSSP) | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| as a routine or repeat site? | | | | |
| 10. Were the samples delivered to the laboratory in a cooler and within the | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| allowable holding time? | | | | |

| | TC+ or EC+ | opsiidai i olid | Site | Sample (specify) |
|---|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 11. What were the weather conditions at the time of the positive sample (rainy, | Sunny, with | Sunny, with | Sunny, with | |
| windy, sunny)? | moderate winds | moderate winds | moderate | |
| | | winds | winds | |

| GENERAL OPERATIONS: | Response |
|--|--|
| Has the sampler(s) who collected the samples received training on proper | Yes- Informal training held in June 2016. Certified training held on March |
| sampling techniques? If yes, please indicate date of last training. | 30, 2015. |
| 2. Does the water system have a written sampling procedure and was it followed? | Yes |
| Where there any power outages that affected water system facilities during the | No |
| 30 days prior to the TC+ or EC + findings? | |
| 4. Were there any main breaks, water outages, or low pressure reported in the | No |
| service area from which TC+ or EC+ samples were collected? | |
| 5. Does the system have backup power or elevated storage? | Elevated storage |
| 6. During or soon after bacteriological quality problems, did you receive any | No |
| complaints of any customers' illness suspected of being waterborne? How | |
| many? | |
| 7. What were the symptoms of illness if you received complaints about customers | No |
| being sick? | |

Page 9 of 10

caused the positive total coliform sample(s) within your distribution system? (DO NOT LEAVE BLANK) SUMMARY: Based on the results of your assessment and any other available information, what deficiencies do you believe to have

| 5. | 4. | ώ | 5 | | Deficiency # |
|----|----|---|--|---|------------------------|
| | | | Due to drought, hydrants have not been flushed since 8/8/16. | After questioning sample takers, it appears there was no adverse conditions, except moderate winds. After investigation it appears a sampling or laboratory error was the cause of the positive total coliforms. There was approximately an 11-12 hour time difference between sampling time and lab received time. | Deficiency Description |

correct a deficiency, indicate the date that it will be corrected. (DO NOT LEAVE BLANK) CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: What actions have you taken to correct the above mentioned deficiencies? If additional time is needed to

| Deficiency # | Corrective Action | Completion/Proposed Date |
|--------------|--|--------------------------|
| ج | Scheduling certified sampling class. | 7/15/16 |
| 2. | City is waiting to order hydrant dechlorinator valve after start of next fiscal year in July 2017. Once purchased, hydrant flushing will be done according to the Operations Plan; which call for entire system flushing annually and dead end flushing quarterly. | 8/1/17 |
| ώ | Staff will collect daily chlorine residual at farthest point in distribution system. | 6/1/17 |
| 4. | | |
| 5. | | |

correct CERTIFICATION: I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and

| NAME: Dennis Longhofer |
|------------------------|
| TITLE: |
| PW Director |
| DATE: |
| 6/2/ |

information: Upon review of the Level 1 Assessment Form, the local regulatory agency may require submittal of the following additional

- distribution system including the location of all hazardous connections such as the wastewater treatment facility Sketch of system showing all sources, all treatment and chlorination locations, storage tanks, microbiological sampling sites and general layout of the
- A set of photographs of the source, pressure tanks, and storage tanks in the system may be submitted if they would show that the contamination is directly related and changes have been made since the last inspection by the local regulatory agency.
- Name, certification level and certificate number of the Operator in Responsible Charge.

Copy of the last cross connection survey performed that identifies the location of all unprotected cross connections.